5 GUIU MLG5.1 Performance on Health Sector Performance Measures

Performance Area	No.	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedures	Score	Detailed asse	Detailed assessment findings			
(A) Human resource planning and	1.	LG has substantively recruited primary health workers with	Evidence that LG has filled the structure for primary health workers with a	 From the LG Performance Contract: 	œ	 There was structure provision 	There was evidence that LG has filled 93.4% of the structure for primary health workers with a wage bill provision from PHC wage for the current FY (2018/19)	LG has fille h workers v or the curre	d 93.4% (vith a wag nt FY (2018	yf the je bill 3/19)
management		a wage bill provision from PHC wage (Maximum 8	wage bill provision from PHC wage for the current FY (2018/19)	 Check the LG approved structure 		 Gulu Municipal cc which are at HC I in the table below 	Gulu Municipal council has four health facilities all of which are at HC III level. Specific details are indicated in the table below	s four healtl specific deta	ר facilities ils are indi	all of cated
points)		points)	 More than 80% filled: score 8 points, 	 ✓ Establish the 		Health facility	Recommended staffing norm	Positions filled	%age	
			• 60 – 80% - <i>score</i> 4 <i>points</i>	positions filled If there is evidence of		Anywee HCIII	19	19	100%	
			 Less than 60% filled: score 0 	effort to recruit (e.g. advertisement etc.) but		Bardege HCIII	19	17	89.5%	
				provide the score.		Laroo HCIII	19	18	94.7%	
						Layiibi HCIII	19	17	89.5%	
						Total	76	71	93.4%	
	2.	The LG Health department has	Evidence that Health department has submitted	 From the Performance Contract review 	0	 There was 2018/19. 	no evidence	of a recrui	recruitment plan	n for
		comprehensive recruitment plan for	recruitment plan/request to HRM for the current FY	recruitment plan to determine whether						
		primary health care workers to the HRM	(2018/19), covering the vacant positions of health	the vacant positions of primary health						
		department (Maximum 6	workers: <i>score 6 points</i>	care workers have been included in the						

department has conducted performance appraisal for Health Centre IVs and Hospital in-charge and ensured performance and lin-charges are conducted.facility in-charges are performance and lin-charges are conducted.department performance performance and lin-charges are conducted.facility in-charges are performance and lin-charges are below 70%: score 4 performance and lin-charges are conducted.facility in-charges are performance performance and lin-charges are conducted.facility in-charges are performance performance performancefacility in-charges are performance performance performance below 70%: score 4 below 70%: score 0facility in-charges performance performance performance performance performance and lin-charges are togentment has department has department has department has department has deployed health workers equitably deployed dists submitted with the budget for the current FY issubmitted with the provided justification for staff lists are actually deployed in the staff lists are actually deployed in the staff lists are actually deployed in the staff lists are actually deployed in the health facilities.deployed in the staff lists are actually deployed in the health facilities.deployed in the actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies,deployed actives, policies, policies,5.The MHO has effectivelyEvidence that the MHO has communicated allFrom Mo	Performance Area	^ی .	Performance Measures The LG Health	Scoring guide Evidence that all health		Score 4	Detailed assessment findings For FY 2017/18, 3 out of 4 healt	ent findings ut of 4 health facili
inconducted performance previous FY (2017/18): of neview a sample appraisal for Health 100%: score 8 points personnel files to Centre IVs and 70 - 99%: score 4 points Hospital in-charge and ensured points personnel files to and ensured and ensured points personnel files to points and ensured Points determine whether moders points equinag the pervious previous points Below 70%: score 0 FY (2017/18). previous points Evidence that the LG obtain and review a previous department has equitably deployed belath obtain and review a previous department has equitably deployed lists submitted obtain and review a deployed obtain and review a deployed deployed in the tracilitis submitted corest filts are ac		ώ	The LG Health department has	Evidence that all health facility in-charges have	 From the LG HR department, obtain 	4	For FY 2017/18, 3 out of 4 health facility in-charges were appraised. This is equivalent to 75%	ut of 4 heal quivalent to
Intoring Centre IVs and Hospital in-charge and ensured performance appraisals for HC III and II in-charges are conducted. 70 - 99%: score 0 Below 70%: score 0 determine whether they were appraised during the previous FY (2017/18). 4. The Local Government Health department has equitably deployed health workers accross health budget for the staff lists submitted together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19). - From the MHO, Health department has equitably. In line with the budget in the current FY (2018/19). - From the MHO, Health workers equitably. In line with the budget in the current FY (2018/19). - From the MHO, (2018/19). - 4 - 5. The MHO has effectively. - Evidence that the MHO - From MoH obtain and review a deployed health workers sample of health budget for the current FY (2018/19). and if not provided justification for staff lists are actually deviations: score 4 budget in the current FY (2018/19). - 4 - 5. The MHO has effectively. - Evidence that the MHO - From MoH obtain guidelines, policies, 0			conducted performance appraisal for Health	 been appraised during the previous FY (2017/18): 100%: score 8 points 	and review a sample of in-charge personnel files to		Health facility	In-charge
number points and ensured appraisals for HC III and II in-charges are conducted. • Below 70%: score 0 FY (2017/18). (Maximum 8 points) • From the MHO, Government Health • From the MHO, Health workers equitably deployed health workers equitably deployed its submitted with the budget in the staff lists submitted budget in the budget			Centre IVs and	 70 – 99%: score 4 	determine whether		Anywee HCIII	Judith Aceng
apprint apprint and II in-charges are conducted. (Maximum 8) <i>Maximum 8 pointsj</i> 4. The Local - Evidence that the LG Government Health Government Hast department has deployed health workers - From the MHO, obtain and review a sample of health across health counted in the budget for the budget for the budget for the health workers staff lists submitted together with the budget for the budget for the health workers indication for staff lists are actually deployed in the points - From MHO has has communicated all guidelines, policies, 5. The MHO has effectively - Evidence that the MHO - From MoH obtain			and ensured		during the previous		Bardege HCIII	Carolyn Adubango
and II in-charges are conducted. (Maximum 8) (Maximum 8) points 4. The Local - Evidence that the LG obtain and review a Government Health Health department has department has department has department has department has deployed health workers is submitted with the across health workers budget for the health workers together with the budget for the health workers indicated in the current FY (2018/19), and if not together with the budget for the health workers together with the budget for the health workers indicated in the provided justification for staff lists are actually deployed in the budget in the health workers indicated in the health facilities. • 5. The MHO has effectively • Evidence that the MHO • From MoH obtain and review, and a points staff lists, policies, policies, policies, policies • Evidence that the MHO • From MoH obtain			appraisals for HC III					
intoring conducted. (Maximum 8 points) (Maximum 8 points) 4. The Local Government Health department has equitably deployed health workers across health tacilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted together with the budget for the current FY (2018/19), and if not accordance with the budget for the current FY (2018/19), and if not accordance with the budget for the current FY (2018/19), and if not accordance with the budget for the current FY (2018/19), and if not staff lists are actually staff lists are actually current FY (2018/19). 4 - 5. The MHO has effectively - - - 6. The MHO has - - - 7. The MHO has - - - 8. From MoH obtain guidelines, policies, 0 -			and II in-charges are					Joyce No
(Maximum 8 points) (Maximum 8 points) 4. The Local - Evidence that the LG - From the MHO, obtain and review a department has equitably deployed health workers across health facilities and in accordance with the budget for the current FY facilities submitted together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19), and if not accordance with the budget for the current FY together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19). - From the MHO, obtain and review a sample of health urban) verify whether the health workers as indicated in the staff lists submitted points - A exit files (rural and urban) verify whether the health workers as indicated in the budget in the current FY (2018/19). - A exit files are actually deployed in the health facilities. - A exit files are actually beloyed in the health facilities. 5. The MHO has effectively - Evidence that the MHO has communicated all - From MoH obtain guidelines, policies, 0			conducted.				Layiibi HCIII	Solomon Obo
4. The Local - Evidence that the LG - From the MHO, 4 Government Health department has equitably deployed health workers across health Health department has deployed health workers obtain and review a sample of health facilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted budget in the current FY (2018/19). From the MHO, 4 - facilities and in accordance with the budget in the current FY (2018/19). budget for the current FY (2018/19). and if not staff lists are actually deployed in the current FY (2018/19). the health workers as indicated in the budget in the current FY (2018/19). + facilities and in points points budget for the current FY deviations: score 4 budget in the current FY (2018/19). + + facilities and in points points botain + + facilities and in points + - + + facilities and in points + - + + + facilities and in points + - + + + + facilities and in points + - + + + + + facilities and in points + + + + + +			(Maximum 8 points)					
department has equitably deployed health workers across health accordance with the together with the current FY (2018/19), and if not together with the budget for the current FY (2018/19), and if not together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19).sample of health facilities (rural and urban) verify whether the health workers as indicated in the budget in the current FY (2018/19).5.The MHO has effectively5.The MHO has has communicated all• From MoH obtain guidelines, policies,0		4.	The Local Government Health		From the MHO, obtain and review	4	 There was evide deployed health 	nce that workers
equitably deployed health workers across health facilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19), and if not provided justification for together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19).facilities (rural and urban) verify whether the health workers as indicated in the budget in the points5.The MHO has effectively• Evidence that the MHO has communicated all• From MoH obtain guidelines, policies,•			department has	deployed health workers	sample of health		submitted with	the budge
Inealth workers Ists submitted with the across health across health facilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted in the budget for the current FY the health workers as indicated in the budget in the budget in the budget in the current FY (2018/19), and if not staff lists are actually deviations: <i>score</i> 4 health facilities. the health workers as indicated in the provided justification for deviations: <i>score</i> 4 health facilities. 5. The MHO has effectively • Evidence that the MHO effectively • From MoH obtain guidelines, policies,			equitably deployed	equitably, in line with the	facilities (rural and		 We sampled tw 	o health fa
facilities and in accordance with the staff lists submitted together with the budget in the current FY (2018/19).(2018/19), and if not provided justification for deviations: <i>score</i> 4 budget in the current FY (2018/19).as indicated in the deviations: <i>score</i> 4 health facilities.5.The MHO has effectively• Evidence that the MHO has communicated all• From MoH obtain guidelines, policies,0			health workers across health	lists submitted with the budget for the current FY	urban) verity whether the health workers		Layibi HCIII. Sta	f lists pro
accordance with the staff lists are actually staff lists submitted deviations: score 4 together with the budget in the budget in the current FY (2018/19). points health facilities. Image: Solution of the current			facilities and in	(2018/19), and if not	as indicated in the		list retrieved from	n Bardege
Itoring 5. The MHO has effectively Evidence that the MHO From MoH obtain guidelines, policies,			accordance with the	provided justification for	staff lists are actually			(
hitoring 5. The MHO has effectively has communicated all guidelines, policies, 0			together with the	points	health facilities.			
itoring 5. The MHO has effectively • Evidence that the MHO effectively • From MoH obtain guidelines, policies, itoring 0 •			budget in the					
Image: Action of Logical System (Maximum 4 <i>points</i>) 5. The MHO has • Evidence that the MHO effectively • Evidence that the MHO unitoring • Evid			current FY					
(Maximum 4 points) 5. The MHO has • Evidence that the MHO • From MoH obtain 0 • Evidence that the MHO • Evidence that t			(2018/19).					
5. The MHO has • Evidence that the MHO • From MoH obtain 0 • nitoring effectively has communicated all guidelines, policies, •			(Maximum 4 points)					
nitoring effectively has communicated all guidelines, policies,	(B)	ςī	The MHO has			0		dence tha
	Monitoring		effectively	has communicated all	guidelines, policies,		guidelines, policie	s, circula

Performance Area	No.	Performance Measures	Scoring guide circulars issued by the national level: <i>score 3</i>	us FY	Score	Detailed assessment findings In-charges a) 1st meeting was held on 21st July 2017
			circulars issued by the national level: <i>score 3</i> <i>points</i>	the previous FY (2017/18). • Check from a sample of 5 health facilities		
	, Ö	The LG Health Department has effectively provided support supervision to district health services (Maximum 6 points)	• Evidence that MHT has supervised 100% of HC IVs and district hospitals (including PNFPs receiving PHC grant) at least once in a quarter: <i>score 3 points</i>	 From the MHO obtain: The LG support supervision reports (quarterly) Minutes of MHT meeting. Facility records 	ω	
			Evidence that MHT has ensured that HSD has	From the MHO obtain:	ω	
			supervised lower level health facilities within the previous FY (2017/18):	supervision reports(quarterly)Minutes of MHT		
			 If 100% supervised: score 3 points 80 - 99% of the health facilities: score 2 points 	 meetings Facility records Review and check a 		
			 60% - 79% of the health facilities: score 1 point Less than 60% of the 	5 facilities		
	7.	The LG Health department	• Evidence that all the 4 quarterly reports have	From the MHO obtain and review:		0
		(including HSDs) have discussed the	been discussed and used to make	 Support supervision and monitoring visit 		

		Performance Area
ŏ		No.
The LG Health department has submitted accurate/ consistent reports/ data for health facility lists receiving PHC funding as per formats provided by MoH (Maximum 10 points)	results/ reports of the support supervision and monitoring visits, used them to make recommendations for corrective actions and follow up (Maximum 10 points)	Performance Measures
 Evidence that the LG has submitted accurate/ consistent data regarding list of facilities receiving PHC funding, which are consistent with both HMIS reports and PBS - <i>score 10</i> <i>points</i> 	 recommendations (in each quarter) for corrective actions during the previous financial year (2017/18): score 4 points Evidence that the recommendations are followed up and specific activities undertaken for correction: score 6 points 	Scoring guide
 From the MoH obtain and review: HMIS reports for the current FY (2018/19) The performance contract for the current FY (2018/19) Check whether the lists of health facilities submitted are consistent/ similar 	 reports Minutes of quarterly meetings Minutes of monthly MHT meetings From the sampled health facilities, determine whether the Health department provided recommendations from the supervision visits and followed up. 	Assessment Procedures
0	0	Score
 The assessment team could not assess this performance measure because they could not obtain copies of HMIS reports for health facilities for FY 2018/19 from MoH 	 There was no evidence that the recommendations were followed – up and specific activities undertaken for correction 	Detailed assessment findings

(D) Procurement and contract management (Maximum 8 points)		Performance Area
12		No.
The LG Health department has submitted input to procurement plan and requests, complete with all technical requirements, to PDU that cover all items in the approved Sector	(Maximum 6 points) The LG has publicised all health facilities receiving PHC non-wage recurrent grants (Maximum 4 points)	Performance Measures
 Evidence that the sector has submitted input to procurement plan to PDU that cover all investment items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget on time by April 30, 2018 for the current FY (2018/19) - score 2 	 budget and resource issues): If 100% of randomly sampled facilities: <i>score</i> <i>6 points</i> If 80-99 %: <i>score</i> 4 <i>point</i> If 70-79: %: <i>score</i> 2 <i>point</i> If less than 70%: <i>score</i> 0 Evidence that the LG has publicised all health facilities receiving PHC non-wage recurrent grants e.g. through posting on public notice boards - <i>score</i> 4 <i>points</i> 	Scoring guide
 From the Municipal Health Officer (MHO) obtain and review submissions to DPU; From PDU crosscheck submission from DHO 	 Study files from 5 randomly sampled health facilities to confirm whether they have HUMCs and review whether they have held 4 mandatory meetings Check the LG Notice Boards and LG budget website to establish if the Health department publicised all facilities receiving non-wage recurrent grants Check a sample of health facilities 	Assessment Procedures
o o	ω	Score
 The health departm PDU input to procu investment items in plan and budget on was after the dead At time of the asse had not submitted PP5) to the PDU by (2018/19) 	 Bardege HCIII ^{1st} quarter 15th, 2nd quarter 21st ^{2nd} quarter 21st ^{3rd} quarter 20th J ^{4th} quarter 5th J ^{3rd} quarter 5th J ^{3rd} quarter 5th M ^{3rd} quarter 29th N ^{4th} quarter 9th N ^{4th} quarter 13th , 4th quarter 13th . There was evidence that the MLG d facilities receiving PHC non-wage re This was evident at the LG notice bo boards of respective health facilities 	Detailed assessment findings
The health department prepared and submitted to PDU input to procurement plan that covered all investment items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget on 8 th May 2018. This submission was after the deadline of 30 th April 2018 At time of the assessment the health department had not submitted procurement request form (Form PP5) to the PDU by 1st Quarter of the current FY (2018/19)	ardege HCIII 1 st quarter 15 th August 2017 2 nd quarter 21 st November 2017 3 rd quarter 21 st November 2017 4 th quarter 9 th March 2018 1 st quarter 20 th June 2018 2 nd quarter 9 th November 2017 3 rd quarter 9 th March 2018 4 th quarter 13 th June 2018 4 th quarter 13 th June 2018 There was evidence that the MLG displayed all health facilities receiving PHC non-wage recurrent grants. This was evident at the LG notice board and notice boards of respective health facilities.	findings

Performance Area	No.	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedures	Score	Detailed assessment findings
		annual work plan and budget <i>(Maximum 4 points)</i>	points - Evidence that LG Health department submitted procurement request form (Form PP5) to the PDU by 1 st Quarter of the current FY (2018/19) - <i>score 2 points</i>			
	ω	The LG Health department has certified and initiated payment for supplies on time <i>(Maximum 4 points)</i>	 Evidence that the MHO (as per contract) certified and recommended suppliers timely for payment- score 4 points 	 From the CFO obtain a sample of contracts, review and determine whether payment requests were certified and recommended on time 	4	 We reviewed two contracts and these included; Supply of Fuel, Lubricants for the Health department by M/s Nile Energy Limited. The amount requested was Ushs. 2,000,000 for 735.3 litres. The LPO (LPO-00603) was raised on 25th January 2018 and was approved by Vote controller (Municipal Engineer) on same date. The LPO was verified by the George Kidega- Principal Treasurer and approved by the Town Clerk on 29th January 2018. The supplier was paid on 2nd February 2018 vide a payment voucher number PV-HE000179. Supply of stationery for the Health department by M/s Prime Trade Links. The amount requested was for stationary Ushs. 1,847,000. The LPO (serial No 001539976) was raised on 4th September 2017 was approved by Vote controller (Nyadru Richard-Principal Health Officer) on same date. The LPO was verified by the George Kidega- Principal Treasurer and approved by the Town Clerk on 6 September 2017. The supplier was paid on 8th September 2017 vide a payment voucher number PV-HE00026.
(E) Financial management and reporting	14	The LG Health department has submitted annual	 Evidence that the department submitted the annual performance 	 From the Planning Unit, obtain and review performance 	4	 The Health department prepared and submitted the 2018/19 quarterly reports and annual performance report that included progress in the execution of the

			(Maximum 8 points)	Performance Area
 ວັ				No.
LG Health department has acted on Internal Audit recommendations (if any) (Maximum 4 points)			reports (including all quarterly reports) in time to the Planning Unit <i>(Maximum 4</i> <i>points)</i>	Performance Measures
Evidence that the sector has provided information to the internal audit on the status of implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year - If sector has no audit query - <i>score 4 points</i>			report for the previous FY - 2017/18 (including all four quarterly reports) to the Planner by mid-July for consolidation - <i>score</i> 4 <i>points</i>	Scoring guide
 From the Internal Auditor obtain copies of sector audit reports from the internal audit and Management responses for the previous FY 			 report files From the MHO check annual and quarterly reports for the previous FY (2017/17) 	Assessment Procedures
N				Score
• Du ne Clau Clau Clau			al of Ne corrections and the corrections of the co	Detai
During the assessn identified anomalie: health centres, hea unutilized incinerat business advances Clarification was p department on the department on the	4 ω ν	Quarter 1	planned activities t Discussion with th indicated that the p using the PBS syst section in the syst consolidation. Onli quarterly reports w Economic Planner. Our review of the syst completed ver the record of the date completed populat Nevertheless we c of the all the four c	Detailed assessment findings
During the assessment we noted that the internal audit identified anomalies such as poor toilet facilities at health centres, health facilities with no land titles, unutilized incinerator and delayed accountabilities for business advances. Clarification was provided to the internal audit department on the issues raised. The MLG is currently processing titles for the health centers.	19/03/2018 10/05/2018 27/08/2018	Online Submission date 08/01/2018	planned activities to the Planning Unit for consolidation. Discussion with the Municipal Economic Planner indicated that the performance reports were prepared using the PBS system where each Sector populated its section in the system and the planning unit made the consolidation. Online submission of the consolidated quarterly reports were then done by the Municipal Economic Planner. Our review of the submitted reports indicated that the performance reports contained sections on the Health sector. However the Economic Planner did not keep record of the date on which the Health sector completed populating its section in the system. Nevertheless we confirmed that the online submission of the all the four quarterly reports was done on the dates indicated in the table below.	t findings
al audit at for s.			dation. bared ted its the ated ated ated at the ealth sep be	

Total			Performance Area
			Ince
	18		No.
	The LG Health department has issued guidelines on medical waste management (Maximum 4 points)	management are disseminated and complied with. <i>(Maximum 4 points)</i>	Performance Measures
	 Evidence that the LG has issued guidelines on medical waste management, including guidelines (e.g. sanitation charts, posters, etc.) for construction of facilities for medical waste disposal - score 4 points. 	 checklist for screening of projects in the budget guidelines and where risks are identified, the forms include mitigation actions: <i>score 2 points</i> The environmental officer and community development officer have visited the sites to check whether the mitigation plans are complied with: <i>score 2</i> <i>points</i> 	Scoring guide
	 From the sampled health facilities, find out whether the LG has issued guidelines on medical waste management 	 whether screening was done and whether risks mitigation plans were developed. From the Environmental officer and CDO obtain and review Site visit reports to establish whether they checked compliance to the risk mitigation plans 	Assessment Procedures
44	0		Score
	 There was no evidence that the MLG had issued guidelines on medical waste management to the sampled health facilities. However, from the sampled health facilities, there were medical waste management guidelines in form of medical waste segregation charts displayed at various locations around the facilities. 	mitigation measures are complied with and monitoring reports were reviewed.	Detailed assessment findings

2 Periori	mance o	n Equ	reation sector Pe	Periormance on Education Sector Performance Measures						
Performance Area	nance	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings	nent finding	S	
(A) Human resource planning and management	man ce 1g and ement		The Municipal LG education department has budgeted and	• Evidence that the LG has budgeted for a Head Teacher and minimum of 7 teachers	From the Municipal LG Performance Contract: (i) review the list of schools; and (ii) the	4	 There was evint teacher and m current FY201 754 for Gulu N 	dence that th inimum of 7 8/2019.The p /LG was rev	There was evidence that the MLG budgeted for a Head teacher and minimum of 7 teachers per school for the current FY2018/2019. The performance contract vote 754 for Gulu MLG was reviewed and the lists of	d for a Head nool for the tract vote its of
(Maxin points)	(Maximum 30 points)		as per guidelines (a Head Teacher and minimum of 7 teachers per school) (Maximum 8	a teacher per class for schools with less than P.7) for the current FY (2018/19) - <i>score 4</i> <i>points</i>	 that: The Municipal LG has budgeted for at least a Head Teacher and a minimum of 7 teachers per school. 		 31 primary schools. The total staff The Wage bill provision was Shs. 6 The budgets for quarter 1 to quarte The budgets for quarter 1 to quarte FY were reviewed for vote 754 ar that the MLG has budgeted for a h minimum of 7 teachers per school 	nools. The to provision wa or quarter 1 t wed for vote has budgete teachers pe	31 primary schools. The total staff lists comprise of 679 The Wage bill provision was Shs. 6,416,202,000 The budgets for quarter 1 to quarter 4 for the current FY were reviewed for vote 754 and it was validated that the MLG has budgeted for a head teacher & minimum of 7 teachers per school	her &
			(ennod	 Evidence that the Municipal LG has deployed a Head 	From the MEO obtain and review • Teachers' lists to	4	 Regarding dep staff lists and : schools were 	oloyment, fro school lists v verified as pe	Regarding deployment, from vote 754 for Gulu MLG, staff lists and school lists were reviewed. Five sampled schools were verified as per staffing status.	ìulu MLG, ive sampled
				of 7 teachers per school	determine whether Municipal LG has		School	Staff list	Actual deployed	
				teacher per class for schools with less than	minimum of 7		Vanguard P/S Christ P/S	44	44	
				(2018/19) - <i>score</i> 4	minimum of a		Kasubi P/S	37	37	
				points	teacher per class for schools with less than P.7) per school		Highland P/S Gulu Public	15 23	15 23	
					 (2018/19). From the sampled schools (urban and rural), verify whether the teachers as 		It was validated that the teachers as indicated in the staff lists are actually deployed in the schools	lat the teache eployed in th	ers as indicated i le schools	n the staff
ſ										

5.2 Performance on Education Sector Performance Measures

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings	findings	
		covering primary teachers and school inspectors to HRM for the current FY (2018/19). (Maximum 4 points)	 for the current FY (2018/19) to fill positions of: Primary Teachers - score 2 points School Inspectors - score 2 points 	recruitment plan to determine whether the vacant positions of teachers and inspectors have been included.		 Four (4) vacant posit 	Four (4) vacant positions of teachers are also included	also inc
	л.	The Municipal LG Education	Evidence that the Municipal LG Education	From the Municipal HR department obtain and	0	 Staff personnel files and approximately FY2017/18 were reviewed 	Staff personnel files and appraisal reports for previous FY2017/18 were reviewed.	for pr
		department has conducted	department has ensured	review:		 It was validated that 	It was validated that of the 2 inspectors, only Ms. Atim	ynly Ms
		performance	appraised and has	school inspectors		2017	2017	
		appraisal for school	appraised all school	and a sample of				
		ensured that	previous FY (2017/18)	determine whether	2	 Five head teachers v 	Five head teachers were sampled and it was validated	vas val
		performance	 100% school 	they were appraised	I	that they were all appraised as namely.	praised as namely;	
		primary school	inspectors - score 3	during the previous			Name of Head	Date
		head teachers is	 Primary school head 					
		the previous FY	teachers			UIU Baptist P/S	Kidega vvaiter Odongpiny	8102/2/12
		(2017/18).	points			Kasubi Central	Alinga Christine	29/12/2017
		(Maximum 6 points)	 70% and 89% - score 2 points 			P/S	Ociti	
		Points	✓ Below 70% - <i>score 0</i>			Kasubi P/S	Oywak Patrick	4/3/2018
						Holy Rosary P/S	Ondoki Charles	15/3/2018
						Kirombe P/S	Labongo Grace	7/2/2018

		(B) Monitoring and inspection <i>(Maximum 35 points)</i>	Performance Area
7.		.୦	No
The Municipal LG Education Department has effectively		The Municipal LG Education Department has effectively communicated and explained guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY (2017/18) to schools (Maximum 3 points)	Performance Measures
• Evidence that all licenced or registered schools have been inspected at least once per term and	• Evidence that the Municipal LG Education department has held meetings with primary school head teachers and among others explained and sensitised on the guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level - <i>score 2</i> <i>points</i>	• Evidence that the Municipal LG Education department has communicated all guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY (2017/18) to schools - <i>score 1 point</i>	Scoring guide
 From the MEO, obtain and review school inspection reports and 	 From the MEO obtain and review minutes and/or other evidence of the meetings with Head Teachers 	 From MoES obtain guidelines, policies, circulars issued by the national level in the previous FY (2017/18) to schools From the MEO obtain evidence that s/he communicated guidelines, policies, circulars to schools. From the sampled schools, check whether the guidelines, policies, circulars were received. 	Assessment Procedure
6	N	د	Score
 From MEO, we obtained and reviewed the school inspection reports and inventory of schools inspected in the previous FY 2017/18. A sample of 5 government aided schools and 5 private schools was selected. 	 The assessment team reviewed minutes of meetings with head teachers provided by the MEO. On 10th May 2018 under MIN 03/EM/01/2018 circulars on corporal punishments, registration of school pupils by National Identification and Registration Authority were discussed. Under MIN 04/EM/01/2018, Policy on school feeding program was discussed. It was validated that the MLG explained and sensitized head teachers on the policies etc. 	 There was evidence that the MLG effectively communicated all policies, guidelines, circulars issued by national level the previous FY 2017/2018 Letters dated 3rd May 2018 were written to head teachers to discuss Guidelines for beginning & closure of term. In the meeting held on 10th May 2018 under Min 02/EM/01/2018, discussions on circulars were held. 	Detailed assessment findings

Area	ł	Measures		Procedure	300000 a
		inspected all registered schools <i>(Maximum 12</i> <i>points)</i>	reports produced: 100% - score 12 90 to 99% - score 8 70 to 79% - score 6 60 to 69% - score 1 50 to 59% - score 1 Below 50% - score 0 	inventory of schools inspected in the previous FY (2017/18) From sampled school verify the number of times they were inspected during the previous FY (2017/18) FY (2017/18)	 It was therefore validated that 7 out of 10 sampled schools (70%) had been inspected at least once 3 times during FY 2017/18. Eagle P/S, Mother Ludia P/S and Credo P/S were not inspected as required. The specific details were as follow; Category 1: Government aided schools 1) Holy Rosary P/S This was inspected as follows; a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 25/07/2017 c) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 8/11/2017 c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 5/03/2018 d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 19/04/2018 This was inspected as follows; a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – no reports b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 2/11/2017 c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 6/03/2018 d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 10/04/2018 Police/vanguard P/S This was primary school inspected as follows; a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 1/08/2017 b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 1/08/2017 c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 28/02/2018 d) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 1/08/2017 b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 1/08/2017 c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 28/02/2018 d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 23/04/2018 d) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 19/07/2017

		c) Otr 3 (Ian _ Mar 3018) _ 38/03/3018
		 d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 24/04/2018 5) Kasubi Army P/S
		This primary school was inspected as follows; a) Otr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 29/09/2017
		c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 23/03/2018
		d) Otr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 12/04/2018
		Category 2: Licensed private Schools
		1) Mt. Olive P/S
		This primary school was inspected as follows
		a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 2/9/2017
		b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 15/11/2017
		c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – no reports
		d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 30/7/2018
		2) El Shaddai P/S
		This primary school was inspected as follows;
		a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – no reports
		b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 9/11/2017
		c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 21/03/2018
		d) Qtr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 31/07/2018
		3) Eagle P/S
		This primary school was inspected as follows;
		a) Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – no reports
		b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – no reports
		c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – no reports
		d) Otr 4 (April- June, 2018) – 21/04/2018

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings
						 Mother Ludia P/S This primary school was inspected as follows;
						a) Otr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – no reports
						b) Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – 14/11/2017
						c) Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – no reports
						d) Otr 4 (April- June, 2018) – no reports
						5) Credo P/S
						This primary school was inspected as follows;
						Qtr 1 (July – Sept, 2017) – 7/09/2017
						Qtr 2 (Oct – Dec, 2017) – no reports
						Qtr 3 (Jan – Mar, 2018) – 29/03/2017
						Qtr 4 (April-June, 2018) – no reports
	œ	Municipal LG	 Evidence that the 	 From the MEO 	4	 The team obtained and reviewed minutes of
		Education	Education department	obtain and review		departmental meetings to determine whether school
		discussed the	inspection reports and	departmental		actions.
		results/reports of	used reports to make	meetings to		a) On 6/04/2018 under Min 04/ED/5/2018: the
		school inspections, used them to make	recommendations tor corrective actions during	determine whether school inspection		education department resolved under capital
		recommendations	the previous FY	reports were		trees that are planted to improve on environments
		for corrective	(2017/18) - <i>score</i> 4 points	discussed and used		Gender awareness/equity in the schools be
		followed		recommendations		attended to. b) On 5/02/2018 Under Min 04/EM/02/2018 the
		(Maximum 10		during the previous		department discussed environmental awareness, gender/sanitation in schools
		points)				c) On 5/01/2018 under Min 02/EM/01/2018:Co-
						curricular activities in school, school feeding in
						d) On 10/01/2018 under Min 03/HES/01/2018, the
						following were discussed, misconduct by head

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings
						teachers, guidance & counselling, disciplinary measures and school feeding and NIRA registration of pupils.
			 Evidence that the Municipal LG Education department has submitted school inspection reports to the DES in the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES) - <i>score 2 points</i> Evidence that the inspection 	 From the DES obtain and review a list of LGs that have submitted school inspection reports From the MEO check whether the MEO has letter of acknowledgement from DES From the sampled schools, determine 	o o	 From the DES, we obtained and reviewed a list of LGs that had submitted school inspection reports. It was noted that the MLG had not submitted inspection reports to the DES. From MEO the team checked for letters of acknowledgement from DES for school inspection reports It was validated that DES (Gulu Region) had issued a letter of acknowledgement dated 16 /01/2018 for 2017/2018 Quarter one inspection report. Since there was no letter of acknowledgement for the other quarters, the score is zero. The Minutes from the education department were reviewed for follow up on recommendations. In the
				 From the sampled schools, determine whether the education department provided 	o	 The Minutes from the education department were reviewed for follow up on recommendations. In the meeting dated 3rd August 2018 under MIN 06/EDUC/08/2017/18 the education department resolved
				recommendations from the inspection reports and followed-up.		 MEO to take disciplinary action on schools that neglect participation in co-curricular activities e.g. Highland P/S Head teachers to increase support supervision in the schools to ensure effective teaching and learning to support education e.g. Holy Rosary P/S Sensitization of parents to support education e.g. Vanguard P/S Improving school facilities e.g. Gulu Public P/s The team could not establish whether the inspection recommendations were followed up.

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings
	ڡ	The Municipal LG Education department has submitted accurate/ consistent reports/ date for school lists and enrolment as per formats provided by MoES (Maximum 10 points)	 Evidence that the Municipal LG has submitted accurate/ consistent data: List of schools which are consistent with both EMIS reports and Programme Budgeting System (PBS) - <i>score 5</i> <i>points</i> Evidence that the Municipal LG has submitted accurate/consistent data: Enrolment data for all schools which is consistent with EMIS report and PBS - <i>score</i> <i>5 points</i> 	 From MoES obtain and review EMIS reports for the current FY (2018/19) Obtain and review the performance contract for the current FY (2018/19) Check whether the list of schools submitted are consistent/similar. From MoES obtain and review EMIS reports for the current FY (2018/19) Obtain and review the performance contract for the current FY (2018/19) Check whether the enrolment levels are consistent/similar. 	o o	 The performance contract for Gulu MLG was reviewed to check the lists of schools. The EMIS report from MoES was not availed for review. Basing on that, the team was not able to establish whether the lists of schools were consistent with both EMIS reports and Programme Budgeting System (PBS) from the performance contract from Gulu MLG was reviewed to check on the enrolment data. The EMIS report from MoES was not availed for review. Basing on that, the assessment team was not able to establish whether it was consistent with EMIS report and PBS from the performance contracts.
(C) Governance, oversight, transparency and accountability (Maximum 12 points)	10.	The Municipal LG committee responsible for education met, discussed service delivery issues and presented issues that require approval to Council	 Evidence that the council committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery issues including inspection, performance assessment results, LG PAC reports etcduring 	 From the Clerk to Council obtain and review education sector standing committee meeting minutes – check if the Council has approved the sector implementation plan 	N	 There was evidence that MLG Committee responsible for education met and discussed service delivery etc. From Clerk to Council, Minutes for sector Committee meetings were reviewed and on 23rd February 2018 under MIN 26/FC/FEB/2017/18, the committee resolved on the following; Parents to adhere to the school feeding program by providing mid-day meals Strategies to be agreed on issues of payment of

			Performance Area
	1 1		No
	Primary schools in a Municipal LG have functional SMCs (Maximum 5 points)	(Maximum 4 points)	Performance Measures
 nasues and saminasion of reports to MEO) 100% schools: score 5 	Evidence that all primary schools have functional SMCs (established, meetings held, discussions of budget and resource	 the previous FY (2017/18) - score 2 points Evidence that the education sector committee has presented issues that requires approval to Council - score 2 points 	Scoring guide
entire list and sample 5 reports)	 Check files from MEO if head teachers have submitted reports to SMCs and minutes of SMCs (check the 	 and discussions by the standing committee MEO's reports to the committee From the Clerk to Council obtain and review minutes to check if education issues have been presented to the Council. 	Assessment Procedure
	0	Ν	Score
Primary School Dates of Contents of Meetings discussion	 A sample of five government aided schools was randomly selected and their School Management Committee files were reviewed to verify the three mandatory meetings as shown below; 	 funds to schools Follow up on issues of payment of funds to Municipal Council Schools in line with MoES guidelines It was validated that the Council with the standing committee discussed service delivery issues including inspection reports etc. From Clerk to Council, minutes for council meetings were reviewed and it was validated that education issues had been presented to the Council as shown below On 29th June 2018 under Min 32/FC/JUNE/2017/18, the following were presented to council MIS to compile a comprehensive report on head teachers' performance in the schools MEO to compile a list of all teachers to indicate time of service before transfers are effected Hold AGM's at the end of the terms Boarding sections in primary schools to be effected with the approval of Municipal LG MEO to submit lists of all SMCs of private and government schools 	Detailed assessment findings

		Performance Area
12.		No
The Municipal LG has publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants <i>(Maximum 3</i> <i>points)</i>		Performance Measures
 Evidence that the Municipal LG has publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants e.g. through posting on public notice boards - score 3 points 	 80 to 99% schools: score 3 Below 80 % schools: score 0 	Scoring guide
 Check the Municipal notice boards to establish if the Education department publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants for public viewing Check a sample of schools for postings 	 Study files from 5 randomly sampled primary schools to confirm whether they have SMCs and review whether they have held 3 mandatory meetings 	Assessment Procedure
ω		Score
 There was evidence that the MLG has publicized all school receiving non-wage recurrent grants It was validated that the MLG had publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants through postings on Local Council notice boards From 5 sampled schools, it was evident that 4 head teachers had postings of non-wage recurrent grants on staff room noticeboards for term II, 2018 as follows; ✓ Highland P/S – Ushs. 1,649,713 	Highland P/S12/02/2018Infrastructure, land and PerformanceHoly Rosary P/S13/03/2018Feeding of performanceGulu Public P/S7/06/2018Academic performanceGulu Public P/S16/03/2018Staffing/MealsGulu Public P/S6/03/2018Staffing and MealsGulu Public P/S6/03/2018Staffing and MealsGulu Public P/S12/6/2018Finance/DisciplineVanguard P/S12/6/2018Finance/DisciplineVanguard P/S28/03/2018School feeding and FinanceIt was validated that none of the five sampled schools had functional SMCs which held the three mandatory SMCs meetings.	Detailed assessment findings
at the MLG has aurrent grants at the MLG hanon-wage recu Council notice chools, it was (ings of non-wa) ceboards for te ceboards for te	12/02/2018 13/03/2018 7/06/2018 6/03/2018 6/03/2018 12/6/2018 28/03/2018 28/03/2018 28/03/2018 28/03/2018	findings
 was evidence that the MLG has publicized all school ving non-wage recurrent grants It was validated that the MLG had publicised all schools receiving non-wage recurrent grants through postings on Local Council notice boards From 5 sampled schools, it was evident that 4 head teachers had postings of non-wage recurrent grants on staff room noticeboards for term II, 2018 as follows; ✓ Highland P/S – Ushs. 1,649,713 	Infrastructure, land and Performance Feeding of pupils/School performance Staffing/Meals Staffing and Meals Finance/Discipline School feeding and Finance Schools had mandatory SMCs	

14. The LG Education Evidence that the LG department has certified and Education initiated payment per contract) certified and recommended (Maximum 3) suppliers for payment: score 3 points	(D)13.The LG Education- Evidence that the sectorProcurement and contract managementdepartment has submitted input into the LG procurement plan, complete with all technical requirements, to Procurement Unit that cover all items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget- Evidence that the sector-(Maximum 7 points)13.The LG Education department has submitted input procurement plan, complete with all technical requirements, to Procurement Unit that cover all items in the approved Sector annual work plan and budget- Evidence that the sector procurement Unit that covers all investment and budget on time by April 30, 2018- score 4 points		Performance No Performance Scoring guide ////////////////////////////////////
 From the CFO obtain a sample of contracts, review and determine whether payment requests were certified and recommended on time 	 From the Municipal Education Officer (MEO) obtain and review submission to Procurement Unit; From DPU crosscheck submission from MEO 	of non-wage recurrent grants	Assessment Procedure
ω	o		Score
 We sampled two contracts and these included: Construction of two units teachers at Obiya West Primary School: Contract was signed on 6th February 2018 between Gulu MLG and M/s Acak Ki Kwene and the contract amount was Ushs. 83,321,770. The contractor requested for Payment of Ushs. 79,155,681 on 7th June 2018. The municipal engineer certified the works on 11th June 2018 and they were verified by the Municipal Education officer on the same day. Payment was recommended by the Municipal Education officer 	 The education department prepared and submitted the approved Sector annual work plan and budget to the PDU on 8th May 2018 and was acknowledged by the DPU on same date. This was after the deadline of submission of 30th April 2018. 	 Vanguard P/S – Ushs. 5,662,193 Gulu Public P/S – Ushs. 2,383,602 Kasubi P/S – Ushs. 4,520,021 	Detailed assessment findings

(E) Financial management and Reporting15.The LG Education department has submitted annual reports (including all quarterly reports) in time to the Planning Unit• Evidence that the department submitted the annual performance report for the previous FY - 2017/18 (with availability of all four quarterly reports) to the Planner by 15th July for to me previous FY (Maximum 4 points)• From the Planning Unit, obtain and review performance report files From the MEO check annual and quarterly reports to the Planner by 15th July for the previous FY (2017/18)• From the Planning the previous FY (2017/18)		PerformanceNoPerformanceScoring guideAssessmentAreaMeasuresProcedure
4		Score
 The Education Sector prepared and submitted the 2018/19 quarterly reports and annual performance report that included progress in the execution of the planned activities to the Planning Unit for consolidation. Discussion with the Municipal Economic Planner indicated that the performance reports were prepared using the PBS system where each Sector populated its section in the system and the planning unit made the consolidation. Online submission of the consolidated quarterly reports were then done by the Municipal Economic Planner. Our review of the submitted reports indicated that the 	Clerk. Payment was effected on 14 th June 2018 vide payment voucher PV-ED00268. • Construction of five stance pour flush toilet at St Joseph's Primary School: Contract was signed on 6 th February 2018 between Gulu MLG and M/s Wa General traders and the contract amount was Ushs. 23 319,896 inclusive of Taxes. The contractor requested for Payment of Ushs. 23,319,896 on 7 th May 2018. The municipal engineer certified by the Municipal Education officer on 14 th May 2018. The amount of works that were certified and verified amount of works that were certified and verified amount of works that were certified and verified Treasurer) and was approved by the Town Clerk. A payment voucher was raised dated 25 th May 2018 vide payment voucher PV-ED00274.	Detailed assessment findings

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings	
						performance reports contained sections on the Education sector. However the Economic Planner did not keep record of the date on which the Education sector completed populating its section in the system.	n ä
						 Nevertheless we confirmed that the online submission of the all the four quarterly reports was done on the dates indicated in the table below. 	ion
						Quarter Online Submission date	
						1 08/01/2018	
						2 19/03/2018	
						3 10/05/2018	
						4 27/08/2018	
	16	LG Education has acted on Internal	 Evidence that the sector has provided 	 From the Internal Auditor obtain 	N	 The Internal Auditor raised queries in the education sector relating to delayed accountabilities for the 	
		Audit recommendations (if any)	internal audit on the status of	copies of sector audit reports from the internal audit		subsequent internal audit reports and discussions with the internal auditor indicated that the	
		(Maximum 4 points)	implementation of all audit findings for the previous financial year (2017/18)	and Management responses for the previous FY (2017/18)		department provided accountabilities.	
			✓ If sector has no audit query - score 4 points				
			 If the sector has provided information to 				
			the internal audit on the status of				
			implementation of all audit findings for the				

Performance Area	No	Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	ta
						 Public private partnerships to deliver services for orphans and other Vulnerable children and HIV prevention among youth in Uganda"
			 Evidence that the School Management Committee meet the guideline on gender composition - score 1 	 From the sampled schools, check whether the SMC meets the guideline on gender 	_	 The School Management Committees for the sampled schools were duly composed with at least 2 females, following the guidelines in the Education (pre-primary, primary and Post Primary) Act, 2008.
	18	LG Education department has ensured that	 Evidence that the LG Education department in collaboration with 	 From MEO obtain and review: Circulars to 	0	 There was no evidence that the LG Education department had issued guidelines on environmenta management to schools in FY 2017/18.
		guidelines on environmental management are	Environment department has issued guidelines on	schools Minutes of meetings with 		 However, there were copies of guidelines seen on file at the education officer's desk and guidelines in the sampled schools.
		complied with	environmental management (tree planting, waste	teachersSample of schools		 From the sampled schools, it was also established that the schools are actively involved in environmental management activities like tree elements in
		points)	management, formation of environmental clubs	 Inspection reports to schools 		management activities like tree planting in collaboration with the environment officer.
			and environment education etc): <i>score 3</i>	 From the Environmental 		
			points	officer obtain and review: Filled		
				screening forms to		
				ascertain whether screening was done		
				and whether risks		
				were developed.		
				 From the Environmental 		

Total officer and CDO obtain and review: Site visit reports to establish whether they checked compliance to the risk mitigation plans 57	Performance Area	No	No Performance Measures	Scoring guide	Assessment Procedure	Score	Detailed assessment findings
					officer and CDO obtain and review: Site visit reports to establish whether they checked compliance to the risk mitigation plans		
	Total					57	